•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/932,825	WINTER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin L McHenry	1725
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Kevin L McHenry, examiner</u> .	(3)	
(2) Kajane McManus, applicant's representative.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 March 2004</u>	Time: <u>12:30 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
none		
Claims discussed:		
none		
Prior art documents discussed:		
none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
The examiner indicated that the application was allowable except for informalities in the drawings and specification. Agreement was reached to amend the drawings and specification in order to place the application in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)